Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/748,438	WEISS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robin A. Hylton	3727
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Robin A. Hylton.	(3)	
(2) Karin Williams.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 May 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: double patenting		
Claims discussed: all		
Prior art documents discussed:  Weiss et al.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>□ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In light of the newly found reference to Weiss et al, the previously agreed upon changes to place the application in condition for allowance cannot be presented in an examiner's amendment since a terminal disclaimer is necessary to overcome the double patenting rejection set forth in the accompanying Office action.